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ABSTRACT
BACKGROUND: Chromosome 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11DS) is a promising model for studying psychosis risk. Direct comparisons of psychosis features between 22q11DS and nondeleted (ND) individuals are limited by inconsistency and small samples. In the largest study to date, we compare 22q11DS to ND in comorbidities, functioning, cognition, and psychosis features across the full range of overall severity.

METHODS: ND youths (n = 150) ages 9 to 24 years were matched to 22q11DS individuals (n = 150) on age and sex, stratifying for presence of psychosis spectrum disorder. Individuals were evaluated for psychosis using the Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes, and for attention-deficit/hyperactivity, substance-related, and mood disorders. Differential item functioning analysis addressed whether 22q11DS differs from ND in the probability of clinically significant ratings while holding constant the overall level of psychosis.

RESULTS: Onset of psychosis proneness was similar among 22q11DS (mean: 11.0 years) and ND (mean: 12.1 years) individuals. Accounting for higher overall psychosis symptoms, 22q11DS participants were still more likely to manifest impaired stress tolerance, avolition, and ideational richness; ND individuals were more likely to exhibit unusual thoughts, persecutory ideas, and bizarre thinking. Cognition was impaired in 22q11DS, but it did not correlate with symptoms except ideational richness. Comorbid anxiety disorders were more likely in psychosis spectrum 22q11DS; substance-related disorders were more likely in ND. Global assessment of function was similar in 22q11DS and ND individuals, except among those with low total Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes scores.

CONCLUSIONS: Individuals with 22q11DS share overarching similarities with ND individuals in psychosis symptoms and age of onset for psychosis proneness; this continues to support the 22q11DS model as a valuable window into mechanisms contributing to psychosis.
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individuals with 22q11DS experienced a lower prevalence of comorbid substance abuse. Another study of adults with schizophrenia comparing 22q11DS (n = 18) and ND (n = 65) also reported no difference in age of onset, positive symptoms, or negative symptoms, but found that lifetime global functioning reached lower levels in ND than in 22q11DS (27). The subthreshold psychosis-prone state was contrasted between 30 adolescents with 22q11DS and 81 ND individuals. Assessment with the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale demonstrated higher negative symptoms, lower general functioning, and older age of onset for the ultra-high risk state in 22q11DS (25). Another study used the Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes to compare 23 adolescents with 22q11DS to matched ND individuals with and without schizotypal personality disorder; of 19 measures reflecting positive, negative, disorganized, and general symptoms, 22q11DS was differentiated from schizotypal personality disorder only by greater deficits in ideational richness and motor disturbance (28). Limited by small sample size, these few direct comparisons were conducted across broad diagnostic categories and did not account for potential differences in symptom burden. Differences in psychosis features may be either obscured or exaggerated by disparities in the overall severity of psychosis.

Here, we aim to evaluate the generalizability of psychosis-related findings in 22q11DS to ND individuals by directly comparing characteristics of psychosis symptoms between 150 youths with 22q11DS and 150 ND individuals representative of their deleted counterparts. To achieve this, we enriched the ND group for psychosis symptoms through a screening process. Control individuals were selected to mirror the 22q11DS sample in the proportion classified as psychosis spectrum; both consist of subjects recruited from community and medical clinic settings. We examine age of onset, psychosis symptomatology, cognition, comorbidities, and general functioning.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Sample Selection and Matching

Participants with 22q11DS and ND individuals ages 9 to 24 years were drawn from two ongoing studies, prospectively designed to facilitate direct comparisons by implementing the same phenotypic procedures. Both groups were recruited from medical clinics and community sources. Each of the 150 participants with 22q11DS was matched to a ND control (1:1) based on age and sex; matches were made within the same psychosis category [i.e., 22q11DS participants classified as psychosis spectrum were matched to ND individuals also classified as psychosis spectrum, and nonpsychosis spectrum 22q11DS participants were matched to nonpsychosis spectrum ND individuals]. The psychosis spectrum classification includes individuals with both threshold and subthreshold levels of psychosis. Matching produced 150 ND individuals with the same proportion of individuals with (n = 94) and without (n = 56) psychosis symptoms. Race was not included as a parameter because the 22q11DS sample was predominantly Caucasian, whereas the ND sample was largely African American. The final matched samples of 22q11DS (n = 150) and ND (n = 150) did not differ by age or sex (Table 1). Race and estimated socioeconomic status were significantly different between the two groups (p < .001)—however, we were able to analyze Caucasian subsamples of the two groups with equivalent socioeconomic status, generating results that do not contradict those of the whole study population, though some effects were no longer statistically significant (Supplemental Tables S3 and S4, and Supplemental Figures S2–S4). See Supplement for detailed sample selection and matching procedures.

Instruments and Measures

Both groups were assessed for psychosis and other psychopathology with the complete Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes (29,30) and parts of the Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Psychosis (31), as well as for medical, social, and treatment histories. Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Psychosis sections assessed DSM-IV psychosis-, mood-, and substance-related disorders and ADHD. Family history of psychopathology in first-degree relatives was assessed using an abbreviated version of the Family Interview for Genetics Studies (32).

Reading proficiency was calculated for each participant using the Wide Range Achievement Test 4 reading subtest (33). Other cognitive measures were assessed using the Penn Computerized Neurocognitive Battery, which has been extensively characterized (34–36). Cognition in 22q11DS and ND is compared with 12 neurocognitive tasks assessing four cognitive domains, including executive function, episodic memory, complex cognition, and social cognition (Supplemental Table S1). The Supplement further describe the above-mentioned instruments and measures.

Scoring and Consensus Diagnosis

Elicited clinical symptoms were rated according to the 19 items on the Scale of Prodromal Symptoms (SOPS) with standardized anchors on a 7-point scale: 0 = absent, 1 = questionably present, 2 = mild, 3 = moderate, 4 = moderately severe, 5 = severe (but not psychotic), 6 = severe and psychotic/extreme (29,30). Only symptoms occurring in the preceding 6 months were considered. Threshold psychotic disorders were determined using DSM-IV-TR criteria (37). We additionally established criteria for “psychosis proneness” to include individuals with one or more clinically significant positive subthreshold symptoms (with or without recent onset or worsening), as well as those with two or more significant negative and disorganized symptoms. All individuals who were psychosis prone or psychotic were considered a part of the psychosis spectrum.

Criteria were tabulated for diagnoses of ADHD, mood disorders, and substance-related disorders based on Kiddie-Schedule for Affective Disorders and Psychosis. Diagnoses were assigned based on DSM-IV-TR criteria (37). Narrative case summaries were composed for each subject and presented at consensus case conferences where SOPS scores and diagnoses were finalized. Global assessment of function (GAF) was also determined by consensus based on overall psychological, social, and occupational functioning according to Structured Interview for Prodromal Syndromes anchors.
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### Table 1. Sample Measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>22q11DS</th>
<th>ND</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, Years</td>
<td>15.3 ± 4.2</td>
<td>16.0 ± 3.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis Spectrum</td>
<td>94 (63)</td>
<td>94 (63)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis prone</td>
<td>83 (55)</td>
<td>85 (57)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis</td>
<td>11 (7)</td>
<td>9 (6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset, Years</td>
<td>11.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>12.1 ± 3.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>−0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPS Total, Score</td>
<td>20.3 ± 13.9</td>
<td>14.7 ± 10.9</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAF, Score</td>
<td>62.8 ± 14.4</td>
<td>70.0 ± 14.5</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>92 (61)</td>
<td>84 (56)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>58 (39)</td>
<td>66 (44)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>130 (87)</td>
<td>52 (35)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>11 (7)</td>
<td>81 (54)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>16 (11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Proficiency</td>
<td>89.2 ± 13.5</td>
<td>100.5 ± 15.4</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>−0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, Years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proband</td>
<td>7.9 ± 3.6</td>
<td>9.2 ± 3.4</td>
<td>&lt;.05</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother</td>
<td>14.8 ± 2.3</td>
<td>14.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated Income, US$</td>
<td>75,030 ± 31,053</td>
<td>51,848 ± 28,415</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent mean ± SD or n (%) unless otherwise indicated. Mean age, mean age of onset, SOPS total, GAF, reading proficiency, and education were compared using Student t test. Proportions of psychosis spectrum, sex, and race were compared using Fisher’s exact test. All comparisons were two-sided with significance threshold of p = .05.

d, Cohen’s d for effect size; GAF, global assessment of function; ND, nondeleted; NS, nonsignificant; 22q11DS, 22q11.2 deletion syndrome; SOPS, Scale of Prodromal Symptoms.

Additional descriptions of scoring and diagnostic procedures can be found in the Supplement.

Eleven 22q11DS and nine ND participants met criteria for psychotic disorders including schizophrenia (22q11DS, n = 5; ND, n = 1), psychosis not otherwise specified (22q11DS, n = 3; ND, n = 4), delusional disorder (22q11DS, n = 2; ND, n = 1), and major depressive disorder with psychotic features (22q11DS, n = 1; ND, n = 3). Age of onset for psychosis proneness and psychosis were determined retrospectively during the interview and assigned via consensus review. Age of onset for psychosis proneness was available for 66 22q11DS and 68 ND individuals; age of onset for psychosis was available for four 22q11DS and eight ND individuals. No value was recorded for the approximately one-third of individuals for whom age of onset was uncertain or psychosis proneness or psychosis.

### Data Analysis and Differential Item Functioning

Comparisons of continuous clinical and demographic variables were conducted using Student t tests, and effect sizes were calculated using the standard formula for Cohen’s d, whereas categorical variables were analyzed using Fisher’s exact test. Computerized Neurocognitive Battery accuracy scores by domain were normalized against ND subjects without psychosis spectrum. An overall cognition score was calculated from the normalized mean of all four domains. Differences in mean cognitive domain scores were calculated via pairwise Student t tests among the following: 22q11DS with psychosis spectrum, 22q11DS without psychosis spectrum, 22q11DS with psychosis spectrum, and ND with psychosis spectrum, and ND without psychosis spectrum. Relationships between cognition and SOPS items were evaluated using separate multiple linear regressions of the ordinal SOPS item score on each neurocognitive domain while covarying for group (22q11DS vs. ND). P values were adjusted for multiple comparisons and significance threshold was two-tailed with the probability of a type I error set to 5%. To compare GAF between 22q11DS and ND along the full range of severity of psychosis spectrum symptoms, GAF was linearly regressed on total SOPS score, group, and group × total SOPS score (38).

Differential item functioning (DIF) occurs when two groups have different probabilities of endorsing a certain response on that item even after holding constant the overall construct of interest (39). Here, we applied DIF to address the following: when holding constant the overall burden of psychosis spectrum symptoms (as reflected by the total number of clinically significant SOPS items), do individuals with 22q11DS differ from ND individuals in the probability of clinically significant ratings (≥3) on any given SOPS item? Scores were dichotomized at the level of clinical significance (0–2 vs. 3–6). Four common methods for determining DIF were employed, with threshold for significant DIF set at ≥3 of 4 methods (Supplemental Table S2; Caucasian subsamples Supplemental Table S3). The Supplement provides further details on our application of DIF and other statistical procedures.
RESULTS

Comparison of Demographic and Clinical Features

Table 1 compares 22q11DS and ND individuals on multiple demographic measures. As expected given the matching procedure, the groups were equivalent in age, sex, and proportions of psychosis spectrum. More participants with 22q11DS, compared with ND individuals, were Caucasian (87% vs. 35%; 0.001), and fewer were African American (7% vs. 54%; 0.001). Estimated household income was higher in 22q11DS individuals ($75,030 vs. $51,848, 0.001). Mean reading proficiency (0 < 0.001; 0 = 0.78) and years of completed education (0 < 0.05; 0 = 0.38) were significantly lower in 22q11DS than ND individuals, without a corresponding difference in maternal education level (0 = 0.11, 0 = 0.21).

Clinical measures were also compared (Table 1). The mean onset of psychosis spectrum symptoms was 11.0 ± 4.0 years in 22q11DS individuals and did not differ significantly from 12.1 ± 3.2 years in ND individuals (0 = 0.08, 0 = 0.31). Onset of psychotic illness was available for a small number of participants (n = 12), and also did not differ (0 = 0.40; 0 = 0.63). Means were 16.0 ± 8.2 years in 22q11DS individuals and 14.1 ± 4.1 years in ND individuals. Mean total SOPS score was higher in 22q11DS individuals (0 < 0.01; 0 = 0.45). Similarly, the number of clinically significant items endorsed was higher in 22q11DS individuals (mean: 4.7 ± 4.0) than in ND individuals (mean: 2.8 ± 2.8; 0 < 0.001; 0 = 0.56).

Limiting these analyses to Caucasian subsamples (Supplemental Table S4), there was no longer a difference in estimated income or mean education level. All other findings were consistent: individuals with 22q11DS had similar age of onset for psychosis spectrum symptoms, higher total SOPS score, and lower reading proficiency.

Differential Item Functioning on SOPS Items

Six SOPS items measuring symptoms of psychosis were found to have significant DIF (Supplemental Table S2). On average, individuals with 22q11DS endorsed higher total SOPS scores than ND individuals did; accounting for this, individuals with 22q11DS were still more likely than ND individuals to endorse clinically significant avolition (N2), deficit in ideational richness (N5), and impaired tolerance to normal stress (G4) (Figure 1A–C). Of these, the higher likelihood of impaired stress tolerance is especially pronounced (Figure 1A).

For example, an ND participant with a total of 10 clinically significant SOPS items has <20% probability of scoring ≥3 on G4, whereas a 22q11DS participant also with 10 clinically significant SOPS items has ~80% probability of significant impairment in stress tolerance. At no point do the curves overlap, suggesting 22q11DS individuals are more likely than ND individuals to experience impaired stress tolerance whether they have few psychosis symptoms or many. This is similarly true for avolition (N2) (Figure 1B) and impairment in ideational richness (N5) (Figure 1C).

In contrast, ND individuals were more likely than 22q11DS individuals to have clinically significant unusual thought content and delusional ideas (P1), suspiciousness and persecutory ideas (P2), and bizarre thinking (D2) (Figure 1D–F). This was also true for the full range of symptom quantity.

Significant DIF continued to exist for G4 and N5 when these analyses were limited to Caucasian subsamples (Supplemental Table S3, Supplemental Figure S2). DIF for N2, P1, P2, and D2 were no longer significant, but the pattern of differences in ratings was similar to that observed in the total sample.

Cognition and Relationship to Psychosis Symptoms

Cognition was significantly impaired for participants with 22q11DS compared with ND individuals regardless of psychosis spectrum category (0 < 0.001 for all comparisons). On the other hand, there were no significant differences in cognition between those with and those without psychosis spectrum among participants with either 22q11DS or ND. This was the case for accuracy scores corresponding to each of the four cognitive domains (executive function, episodic memory, complex cognition, and social cognition) as well as an overall measure of cognition incorporating accuracy scores from all domains (Figure 2).

After covarying for group, five of the six SOPS items showing DIF (P1, P2, N2, D2, and G4) were not significantly correlated to any domain of cognition (Supplemental Table S5). N5 (ideational richness) was significantly predicted by executive function (0 = 0.4), complex cognition (0 = 0.1), and the overall composite score (0 = 0.02).

Comorbidity of Psychosis Spectrum Individuals

Prevalence of comorbid disorders differed for psychosis spectrum individuals with 22q11DS compared with psychosis spectrum ND individuals (Figure 3). ADHD was more prevalent in 22q11DS (40%) compared with ND individuals (16%; 0 < 0.01), and the opposite was true for substance-related disorders, which were more common in ND individuals (11% vs. 1%; 0 < 0.01). There was no significant difference in the prevalence of comorbid mood disorders in psychosis spectrum 22q11DS individuals (19%) compared with ND individuals (27%; 0 = 0.30).

In Caucasian subsamples, mood disorders continued to be statistically similar, and substance-related disorders continued to be more prevalent in ND. However, ADHD no longer differed between the groups (Supplemental Figure S3).

Global Assessment of Function

GAF scores were overall lower in 22q11DS individuals at a mean of 62.8 compared with 70.0 in ND individuals (0 < 0.001; 0 = −0.50) (Table 1), but regression of GAF on total SOPS score showed a significant group x total SOPS score interaction (0 < 0.001) (Figure 4). The difference in GAF between 22q11DS and ND individuals occurs only for those with low total SOPS scores. A similar relationship existed for Caucasian subsamples (Supplemental Figure S3).

DISCUSSION

We directly compared clinical features, symptomatology, cognition, comorbidity, and general functioning between 150 individuals with 22q11DS and 150 age and sex-matched ND individuals. To our knowledge, this is the largest such comparison to date. Obtained from general medical clinics...
and community sources, this sample is relatively representative of young people with 22q11DS. ND individuals were recruited from similar sources. Assessment methodologies were prospectively standardized and discriminating statistical methods were employed. Comparison of psychosis features was maximally facilitated by selecting a ND comparison sample, enriched for the presence of psychosis, that mirrored the proportion of psychosis spectrum individuals with 22q11DS. This design, though not suitable for comparing the prevalence of psychosis symptoms between 22q11DS and ND individuals, allowed us to investigate noteworthy similarities and differences in the manifestation of psychosis in 22q11DS and ND individuals.

Age of onset for psychosis proneness was similar for 22q11DS and ND individuals at 11.0 and 12.1 years, respectively. For threshold psychosis, there was no difference in onset among 12 subjects for whom these data were available. Similarly, two previous studies found mean age of onset for schizophrenia to be around 20 years and to be consistent between 22q11DS and ND adults (26,27). In contrast, Armando et al. (25) reported later onset for clinical high risk in 22q11DS individuals (16.4 years; n = 30) compared with ND individuals (14.8 years; n = 81). Like these studies, our measures are limited by potential inaccuracies of retrospective recall. When conversion to psychosis in 22q11DS individuals was prospectively determined, mean age was 17.7 ± 4.2 years (10). As in 22q11DS individuals, average age of onset for clinical high risk in ND individuals has been reported at around 16 years (40). Our reported mean is younger most likely due to the young age of our study sample. Additionally, we employed

Figure 1. Scale of Prodromal Symptoms (SOPS) items showing differential item functioning. Compared with nondeleted (ND) individuals at the same total level of symptomatology, individuals with 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11DS) are more likely to have clinically significant impairment in stress tolerance (G4), avolition (N2), and ideational richness (N5) whereas they are less likely to have unusual thought content (P1), persecutory ideas (P2), and bizarre thinking (D2). The y axis illustrates probability of clinically significant symptoms for that item. The x axis represents the number of total clinically significant items endorsed by a participant.
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Figure 2. Cognition in 22q11.2 deletion syndrome (22q11DS) and non-
deleted (ND) individuals. Accuracy scores for 12 tasks assessing four
cognitive domains (executive function, episodic memory, complex cogni-
tion, and social cognition) were normalized against ND individuals without
psychosis spectrum (PS) (n = 56). Individuals with 22q11DS were sig-
ificantly impaired in all cognitive domains as well as in the overall
composite score compared with the scores of ND individuals (p < .001
for all comparisons). There were no differences between those with
psychosis spectrum vs. those without for either 22q11DS or ND. Pairwise
comparisons were made using Student t test with significance threshold p
= .05. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.
more inclusive criteria for defining the at-risk state by incor-
porating negative and disorganized symptoms. These non-
specific symptoms are postulated to precede positive
symptoms in the development of psychosis (41), but they
nevertheless warrant consideration as indicators of increased
risk. As schizophrenia is increasingly understood as a disorder
of aberrant neurodevelopment, the similar age of onset in
22q11DS compared with ND individuals suggests the possi-
bility that the same faulty developmental processes are
at play.
Accounting for overall higher SOPS scores in 22q11DS
individuals, the two groups had similar likelihood of having
clinically significant symptoms on the majority of SOPS
subscales. There were exceptions. Individuals with 22q11DS
were more likely to experience significant levels of stress
intolerance, avolition, and reduced ideational richness,
whereas ND individuals were more likely to experience sig-
nificant unusual thought content, persecutory ideas, and
bizarre thinking. The difference for stress tolerance was
particularly pronounced, which is of potential relevance to
the finding that anxiety may be predictive of conversion to
psychosis in 22q11DS (10,42,43). Notably, the items more
commonly clinically significant in ND individuals (holding
overall psychosis constant) reflected delusional ideations.
It is worth considering whether deficits in ideational richness in
22q11DS individuals may manifest as decreased ability to
report delusional thought content. Alternatively, the distress
associated with psychosis may be manifested as less-defined
symptoms of subjective stress. Pertinent negative findings
include the 13 items for which DIF was not found; 22q11DS
individuals do not markedly differ from ND individuals in the
likelihood of clinically significant perceptual abnormalities,
disorganized speech and behavior, expression and experience
of emotions, social anhedonia, occupational functioning, and
other symptoms. These results build on previous studies,
which did not account for overall severity of symptoms. Two
studies of adults with schizophrenia found no differences in
symptom domains, whereas two studies including adoles-
cents with subthreshold symptoms suggested that individuals
with 22q11DS may experience higher negative symptoms,
impaired ideational richness, and motor disturbances (25–28).
Together with our results, available comparisons suggest
individuals with 22q11DS experience largely comparable
symptoms of psychosis to ND individuals with notable excep-
tions related to stress tolerance and ideational richness. This
also proposes the possibility that underlying neurobiological
pathways producing psychosis may be shared.
Cognition in 22q11DS individuals was impaired compared
with that of ND individuals for all cognitive domains, but a
significant difference between those with and those without
psychosis spectrum did not emerge. Whereas cognitive
deficits in 22q11DS are not novel, they are potentially infor-
mative; impairments in cognition have been associated with
eventual conversion to psychosis (10,11,42). Greater deficits in
multiple domains were also reported by Shapiro et al. (28).
Although cognitive deficits are expected to be associated
with psychosis spectrum disorders, effect sizes are variable by
age and smaller for individuals with milder illness in the ND

Figure 3. Comorbidities of psychosis spectrum individuals with 22q11.2
deletion syndrome (22q11DS) and nondeleted (ND). Mood disorders occur
in similar prevalence, but individuals with 22q11DS who are psychosis
spectrum positive are more likely to have comorbid attention-deficit/
hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) (p < .01) and less likely to have comorbid
substance disorders (p < .01). Prevalence of comorbidities were compared
using a two-sided Student t test with significance threshold set at p = .05.
Mood represents mood disorders including major depression, bipolar
disorder, dysthymia, and unspecified depressive and mood disorders.
Substance represents abuse or dependence on alcohol or illicit drugs
including hallucinogens, opioids, anxiolytics, and cocaine. NS, nonsignificant.
individuals with 22q11DS with schizophrenia versus those with delusional ideas and impaired stress tolerance are unlikely to share the deletion. This suggests that the differences did not correlate with cognition independent from the presence of psychosis symptoms. Furthermore, with the anticipated exception of ideational richness, psychosis symptoms that had shown significant effects ceiling on functioning in 22q11DS individuals. The absence of an effect on cognition from psychosis proneness in our sample is likely due to the subsyndromal illnesses and their young age (which is associated with instability in the presence of psychosis symptoms). Monks et al. (27) also found no difference in IQ between individuals with 22q11DS with schizophrenia versus those without schizophrenia. Importantly, the absence of a significant difference cross-sectionally does not preclude cognitive deficits being associated with eventual conversion to psychosis. Furthermore, with the anticipated exception of ideational richness, psychosis symptoms that had shown significant effects did not correlate with cognition independent from the presence of the deletion. This suggests that the differences between 22q11DS and ND individuals in endorsement of delusional ideas and impaired stress tolerance are unlikely to be due to differences in cognition.

Like Bassett et al. (26), we found that psychosis spectrum 22q11DS individuals were less likely than ND individuals to meet criteria for substance-related disorders, whereas comorbid ADHD was more likely. Mood disorders did not differ. It is interesting to consider whether these asymmetries arise from independent biological and psychosocial factors or whether they reflect processes related to psychosis vulnerability. For example, does 22q11DS confer a fundamental deficit in social cognition that underlies both psychosis risk and lower comorbidity of substance-related disorders? ADHD in ND youth has been associated with subsequent increased risk for psychosis (46–48). In both populations, comorbid attention deficits may contribute to or reflect the emergence of psychosis symptoms.

Mean GAF scores were lower in 22q11DS than ND individuals and therefore were consistent with the findings of Monks et al. (27) and Armando et al. (25). Bassett et al. (26), however, found no significant difference. Our large sample size enabled us to investigate interactions among GAF, group, and total SOPS score. We found that individuals with 22q11DS and few symptoms of psychosis (total SOPS score 0–10) function more poorly than individuals with ND do in the same range; however, this difference disappears at moderate and higher ranges of psychosis burden. This may suggest that psychological, social, and occupational functioning are similarly affected in 22q11DS and ND individuals by increasing burdens of psychosis symptoms. However, intellectual disability and the prevalence of other psychiatric disorders enforce a lower ceiling on functioning in 22q11DS individuals.

All participants were assessed concurrently and cohort effects are therefore minimized. Samples of 22q11DS individuals and ND individuals were selected and matched to optimize our ability to compare psychosis features including associated symptoms such as impaired tolerance to normal stress. This design necessarily prevents us from studying prevalence of psychosis or comparing overall severity in the two populations. Additionally, some sample differences should be considered. Participants with 22q11DS were predominantly Caucasian (87%), which is consistent with other studies of this genetic disorder. It is uncertain whether 22q11DS is truly less prevalent in other races or rather underdiagnosed (3,28,49). In contrast, ND individuals (54% African American) were recruited from the greater Philadelphia area, and they reflect local diversity. As expected, analyses limited to Caucasian participants were less powerful and some results were no longer significant. Education level was no longer lower for individuals with 22q11DS, and DIF was no longer present for N2, P1, P2, and D2. The prevalence of ADHD was also equivalent. However, given the overall consistency of major findings, there is limited evidence to suggest that race or socioeconomic status were significant confounders. Participants with 22q11DS had also completed fewer years of schooling and scored lower in reading proficiency compared with same-aged ND individuals. Though the differences were significant statistically, these measures of academic achievement were not drastically divergent; ND individuals superseded 22q11DS individuals by ~10% in reading proficiency and 1 year in education level. Additionally, whereas our utilization of 1:1 matching controlled for key known variables, there is the possibility of introducing unknown confounders.

Despite these limitations, we contribute notable insights in the emergence of psychosis in 22q11DS as it relates to the ND population. Chromosome 22q11DS is one of the highest biologic risk factors for psychosis—it is reliably diagnosed and relatively genetically homogenous compared with the overall population of individuals with psychosis, therefore potentially enhancing the signal-to-noise ratio in research aimed at isolating key contributors to psychosis risk. Longitudinal follow-up of youths with 22q11DS is likely to capture the emergence of psychosis, as well as its exacerbating and protective factors. Generalizability to the general population requires that psychosis features be similar between 22q11DS and ND individuals. We present direct comparisons between 22q11DS and ND individuals with substantially larger samples and finer levels of analyses than preceding studies do. Psychosis in 22q11DS individuals is distinguished from that of ND individuals primarily by lower comorbidity with substance abuse and differences in symptoms related to stress.
tolerance and ideational richness, which are not accounted for by cognitive deficits. However, the majority of psychosis symptoms are endorsed with equal likelihood in 22q11DS and ND individuals when accounting for overall symptom burden, and they progress on a similar developmental time course. This further supports 22q11DS as an important model for studying psychosis risk.
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